

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/717,985	Applicant(s) TANIGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Barbara J. Musser	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: on Appeal

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(3) _____

(2) John Forcier.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated the appeal brief was defective since it did not contain a statement by the translator certifying the translation. Applicant indicated that certification would be obtained and a corrected appeal brief re-submitted.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)